

Markscheme

May 2026

Social and cultural anthropology

Standard level

Paper 1

© International Baccalaureate Organization 2026

All rights reserved. No part of this product may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without the prior written permission from the IB. Additionally, the license tied with this product prohibits use of any selected files or extracts from this product. Use by third parties, including but not limited to publishers, private teachers, tutoring or study services, preparatory schools, vendors operating curriculum mapping services or teacher resource digital platforms and app developers, whether fee-covered or not, is prohibited and is a criminal offense.

More information on how to request written permission in the form of a license can be obtained from <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

© Organisation du Baccalauréat International 2026

Tous droits réservés. Aucune partie de ce produit ne peut être reproduite sous quelque forme ni par quelque moyen que ce soit, électronique ou mécanique, y compris des systèmes de stockage et de récupération d'informations, sans l'autorisation écrite préalable de l'IB. De plus, la licence associée à ce produit interdit toute utilisation de tout fichier ou extrait sélectionné dans ce produit. L'utilisation par des tiers, y compris, sans toutefois s'y limiter, des éditeurs, des professeurs particuliers, des services de tutorat ou d'aide aux études, des établissements de préparation à l'enseignement supérieur, des fournisseurs de services de planification des programmes d'études, des gestionnaires de plateformes pédagogiques en ligne, et des développeurs d'applications, moyennant paiement ou non, est interdite et constitue une infraction pénale.

Pour plus d'informations sur la procédure à suivre pour obtenir une autorisation écrite sous la forme d'une licence, rendez-vous à l'adresse <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

© Organización del Bachillerato Internacional, 2026

Todos los derechos reservados. No se podrá reproducir ninguna parte de este producto de ninguna forma ni por ningún medio electrónico o mecánico, incluidos los sistemas de almacenamiento y recuperación de información, sin la previa autorización por escrito del IB. Además, la licencia vinculada a este producto prohíbe el uso de todo archivo o fragmento seleccionado de este producto. El uso por parte de terceros —lo que incluye, a título enunciativo, editoriales, profesores particulares, servicios de apoyo académico o ayuda para el estudio, colegios preparatorios, desarrolladores de aplicaciones y entidades que presten servicios de planificación curricular u ofrezcan recursos para docentes mediante plataformas digitales—, ya sea incluido en tasas o no, está prohibido y constituye un delito.

En este enlace encontrará más información sobre cómo solicitar una autorización por escrito en forma de licencia: <https://ibo.org/become-an-ib-school/ib-publishing/licensing/applying-for-a-license/>.

The following interpretation of the markscheme is offered as an example of the types of responses we may expect, however it is not prescriptive or exhaustive, and other possible answers should be appropriately rewarded if relevant.

Section A

1. Define the term **age** and describe how it is understood and applied in the context of the passage. **[4]**

This question requires students to demonstrate conceptual knowledge and understanding of the term “age” and apply it in relation to the text. Students may write in terms of any of the following guidelines, but other definitions or applications will also be acceptable if made relevant to the context of the passage.

Possible ways of defining the term:

- as a universal principle of social differentiation and classification
- age as a biological process and also as a cultural construct
- different meanings according to specific historical, social and class contexts
- related to life-stages and processes of socialization
- related to ranks, statuses, rights, and duties
- culturally situated/experienced
- in relation to discourses of childhood.

Other appropriate definitions should be credited.

Possible examples of description and application:

- in relation to the roles of children in hunter-gatherer societies compared to industrialized societies
- in relation to views of childhood (vulnerable infants vs capable actors)
- in relation to the notion of play-work
- in relation to methodological decisions when doing fieldwork with children
- in relation to childhood studies and theories of socialization/enculturation
- in relation to intergenerational change.

Other appropriate applications should be credited.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	The response demonstrates a basic knowledge and understanding of the concept. There is a partial application of the concept in relation to the text.
3–4	The response demonstrates sound knowledge and understanding of the concept; the concept is described in detail. The concept is clearly applied in relation to the text.

2. Analyse the ethnographic data presented in the passage using the concept of **culture**. [6]

This question requires students to develop an analysis and explanation of this ethnographic text using the key concept of **culture** to help make sense of the ethnographic data. In order to do this, students are required to demonstrate an understanding of the key concept and use it to illuminate certain issues within the context of the passage, developing an analysis with reference to the ethnographic data of the extract.

Possible ways of defining the key concept include:

- Organized system of symbols, ideas, explanations, beliefs, and material production that humans create and manipulate in their daily lives
- Shared social construction of meanings, such meanings are not static, but dynamic, fluid and can be contested.
- Culture as embodied practice.

Other appropriate definitions should be credited.

Possible examples and ways of analysing:

- culture as learned
- culture as dynamic
- cultural relativism
- cultural change
- culture and material constraints
- cultural reproduction, enculturation, socialization
- cultural contact, cultural colonization, globalization
- cultural adaptation, resistance
- embodied culture, lifeworlds, habitus.

Other appropriate examples and ways of analysing should be credited.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	The response offers a common-sense or superficial understanding of the key concept. There is an attempt to relate the key concept to the text, and some ethnographic examples are presented but these are only partially relevant.
3–4	The response demonstrates an understanding of the key concept and establishes its relevance to the text. There is an analysis of the text using the key concept, although there are some inconsistencies. Relevant ethnographic examples from the text are presented to support the analysis.
5–6	The response demonstrates a clear understanding of the key concept, discussing this in the context of the text. There is a clearly explained analysis of the text using the key concept and a detailed interpretation of the ethnographic data. Clear and explicit ethnographic examples from the text support the analysis.

3. Compare and contrast the ways in which the key concept of **change** or **materiality** is evident in this passage with how it is evident in **one** other ethnographic example you have studied. **[10]**

Students are expected to show an ability to think about the text in relation to other contexts and to draw explicit comparisons. In order to do this, responses must demonstrate an understanding of how either the key concept of **change** or **materiality** relates to this ethnographic context. Either of the key concepts on which such comparison may be drawn should be made explicit and clearly linked to any anthropological issues raised by the text.

The target societies for this comparative question are varied and many. Students should be able to establish a relevant comparison with any other group or society based on either of these concepts. The response should be structured as a comparison, highlighting similarities and differences. Students must situate the comparative case in terms of place, author, and fieldwork context.

For change, possible ways of defining the key concept include:

- The alteration or modification of cultural or social elements in a society.
- Change may be due to internal dynamics within a society, or the result of contact with another culture, or a consequence of globalization.

Other appropriate definitions should be credited.

Possible examples from the text about change may include:

- children as creative and dynamic agents
- intergenerational changes
- As lived experience
- in relation to non-indigenous societies, colonization, and globalization
- social and economic changes (the ongoing transition from hunter-gatherer lifestyles in the forest toward more urban, money-based economies)
- consequences of spatial change: forest to river
- changes in methodological and theoretical approaches.

Any other relevant point of comparison used from the text should be credited.

For materiality, possible ways of defining the key concept include:

- As objects, resources and belongings that have cultural meaning, embedded in all kinds of social relations and practices.
- linked to a non-material/symbolic dimension, for which material objects or sensory experiences are given meaning and function within a set of social practices.
- With reference to how some anthropologists seek to understand human experience through the study of material objects as a research approach.

Other appropriate definitions should be credited.

Possible examples from the text about materiality may include:

- In relation to the relevance of play-work as embodied activities
- In relation to the concept of lifeworlds
- Participatory photography, drawings as key material resources used by the ethnographer
- Hunter-gatherers and their relation to the forest
- Changes in relation to the environment (sedentarization)
- The centrality of the river in children's lives
- The importance of the river as a conduit/means of transport of materials, people, and ideas
- Economic constraints related to global capitalism.

Any other relevant point of comparison used from the text should be credited.

4. Compare and contrast the approaches to research adopted by the anthropologist in this passage to the approaches to research used by **one** other anthropologist you have studied. Make reference to concepts and ethnographic material in your answer. **[10]**

Here, students are expected to show an ability to think about the text with emphasis on the methodological and theoretical perspectives of the ethnographer as the focus on which such comparisons should be established.

By “approaches to research” the question essentially refers to the research methods used by the anthropologist to gather data.

Possible comparative examples regarding approaches may include:

- Participant observation
- participatory photography
- Informal/ethnographic interview
- Research with children compared to research with adults.

Students may also mention other relevant methodological terms and discussions. For example: child-centred participatory methodologies, the ethnographer’s agency-oriented approach, visual methods, access to the field, insider/outsider, local categories/analytical categories, positionality, representation, empathy, and collaboration.

Any other relevant point of comparison used from the text should be credited.

Identification of ethnographic material includes: fieldwork location(s), fieldwork context(s)*, group(s) studied, and ethnographer(s).

*fieldwork context refers to when the fieldwork was carried out regardless of the publication date of the material.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	Comparative ethnography or approaches are presented but in limited detail; relevance is only partially established. The response is not structured as a compare and contrast. The identification of ethnographic material is missing.
3–4	Comparative ethnography or approaches are presented and although this is in limited detail, its relevance is established. The response is structured as a compare and contrast, but this is not balanced and lacks detail. The identification of ethnographic material is partially complete.
5–6	Comparative ethnography or approaches are presented; relevance is established and explained. The response is clearly structured as a compare and contrast; however, either comparison (similarities) or contrasts (differences) are explained in detail, but not both. The identification of ethnographic material is mostly complete.
7–8	Comparative ethnography or approaches are presented; relevance is clearly established and explained in detail. The response is clearly structured as a compare and contrast with comparisons (similarities) and contrasts (differences) being discussed in detail, although this is not balanced. The response demonstrates anthropological understanding. The identification of ethnographic material is mostly complete.
Capped marks	If fieldwork location(s), fieldwork context(s), group(s) studied and ethnographer(s) have not been fully identified, no more than 8 marks will be awarded.
9–10	Comparative ethnography or approaches are presented; relevance is clearly established and discussed in detail. The response is clearly structured as a compare and contrast with comparisons (similarities) and contrasts (differences) discussed critically. The response demonstrates anthropological understanding. The identification of the ethnographic material is complete.

5. To what extent is knowing others possible? Discuss with reference to **at least two** sources of ethnographic material and examples from the passage. [10]

This question requires students to develop an argument that is built on an understanding of the following “big anthropological question”: **to what extent is knowing others possible?** This response should include argumentative discussion and analysis and should be supported by making reference to the passage and by relevant, detailed ethnographic material that gives evidence of the understanding of this big question in different cultural contexts. This big anthropological question should be the very backbone of the response.

In the development of their response, students may make reference to a number of ideas or propositions connected to the question. For this reason, below are some ideas that may appear in students’ responses. However, any other relevant lines of thought should be rewarded.

Possible issues to develop an argument may be:

- ethnocentrism, cultural relativism
- outsider/insider, local/analytical categories
- meaning and context
- systems of knowledge, systems of classification
- ethnography as a way of knowing and knowledge production
- anthropologists as cultural translators
- intercultural/ intergenerational communication
- representation
- particularism, universalism
- cross-cultural translation/comparison
- relation between knowledge, power, and social organization.

Other appropriate discussion and arguments should be credited.

Identification of ethnographic material includes: fieldwork location(s), fieldwork context(s)*, group(s) studied, and ethnographer(s).

*fieldwork context refers to when the fieldwork was carried out regardless of the publication date of the material.

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	There is limited understanding of the big anthropological question. The response refers to ethnographic material; relevance to the question is superficial or not established. There is no reference to the passage. The identification of ethnographic material is missing.
3–4	There is partial understanding of the big anthropological question. The response presents some of ethnographic material and establishes its relevance to the question, but this lacks detail. There is no or limited reference to the passage. There is an attempt to analyse and interpret the ethnographic material in relation to the big anthropological question, but this lacks clarity and coherence. The identification of ethnographic material is partially complete.
5–6	There is an understanding of the big anthropological question. The response presents a range of relevant ethnographic material and establishes its relevance to the question. There is some reference to the passage. There is some analysis and interpretation of the ethnographic material and passage in relation to the big anthropological question but there is a limited or an undeveloped argument. The identification of ethnographic material is mostly complete.
7–8	There is clear understanding of the big anthropological question in different cultural contexts. The response presents detailed comparative ethnographic material and establishes its relevance to the question. There is clear reference to the passage. The analysis and interpretation of the ethnographic material and passage support the development of an argument; however minor inconsistencies hinder the strength of the overall argument. The identification of ethnographic material is mostly complete.
Capped marks	If fieldwork location(s), fieldwork context(s), group(s) studied and ethnographer(s) have not been fully identified, no more than 8 marks will be awarded.
9–10	There is clear understanding of the big anthropological question in different cultural contexts. The response presents detailed comparative ethnographic material and establishes its relevance to the question. There is clear reference to the passage. Analysis and interpretation support the development of a reasoned argument; any minor inconsistencies do not hinder from the strength of the overall argument. The identification of ethnographic material is complete.